

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc. 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
vww.usplo.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,723	12/24/2003	Hugo Embert	15818-8US-1 JA/AD/mb	8320	
20988 7.	590 02/11/2005		EXAMINER		
OGILVY REI	NAULT COLLEGE AVENUE	LIN. ING HOUR			
SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MONTREAL, QC H3A2Y3			1725		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/743,723	EMBERT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ing-Hour Lin	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 De	ecember 2003.				
· _ ·	action is non-final.				
	<i>,</i> —				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 14-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	ſ.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/233016. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/24/03. 	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 15-16, 18-19, 21-22, 24-25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 15-16, 18-19, 21-22, 24-25, the claimed features regarding "marginal edges" and "margin" are not supported in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 14-27 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by O'Brien et al (Pub. No. US 2002/0013636A1) of application serial number 09/656255 filed 9/6/2000.

O'Brien et al (Pub. No. US 2002/0013636A1) of application serial number 09/656255 filed 9/6/2000. teach the claimed method of manufacturing a dental prosthesis using a pattern of a dental

Art Unit: 1725

prosthesis from a wax material, and the claimed pattern and prosthesis made from the claimed methods.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 14-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Rubbert et al or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Iiyama et al.

Rubbert et al (col. 54 lines 67+) teach the claimed method of manufacturing a dental prosthesis using a pattern of a dental prosthesis from a wax material, and the claimed pattern and prosthesis made from the claimed methods. Rubbert et al fail to teach the use of a marginal edge. However, Iiyama et al (col. 6, lines 1+) teach the use of a marginal edge of about 0. 2 to 2

Application/Control Number: 10/743,723

Art Unit: 1725

Page 4

mm for the purpose of making the margin of the dental prosthesis coincide with the margin line

of the abutment tooth. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to

provide Rubbert et al the use of a marginal edge as taught by Iiyama et al in order to of make the

margin of the dental prosthesis coincide with the margin line of the abutment tooth.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ing-Hour Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1180. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

P.Hd.

I.-H. Lin

KILEY S. STONEH

2-02-05

Xly than 2/1/05